

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,201	02/06/2004	Kyoko Suzuki	09792909-5797	6961
26263 7590 06/25/2007 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			EXAMINER	
			NEGRON, DANIELL L	
	WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/774,201	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniell L. Negrón	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma	arch 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order and or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath of the oath of the oath of the oath or declaration is ob	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/774,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. Examiner acknowledges the request for continued examination (RCE) filed on April 20, 2007.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 5, 6, and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: On line 5 of claims 5, 6, and 16 respectively, the word "les" has been misspelled. Examiner suggests correcting the spelling to read "less". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishijima et al U.S. Patent No. 6,263,151 in view of Ozue et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0021982.

Regarding claim 1, Nishijima et al disclose a magnetic recording head for a helical scan type magnetic recording/reproducing apparatus comprising a multi-gap recording head having "n" gaps, where "n" is an integer greater than 2 (Fig. 1), wherein the "n" recording gaps are wider than a track pitch (column 2, lines 11-14) and overlap each other in a pitched manner (see Fig. 2) so as to record a pattern of juxtaposed tracks (see Figs 3 and 4), and a gap for recording the last track among "n" recording gaps of the multi-gap recording head being wider than other

Art Unit: 2627

gaps (Fig. 2). Furthermore, in Figure 2, Nishijima et al show standard play video head (3) as a gap to record the last track among "n" gaps. Nishijima et al fails to explicitly disclose the recording head as being formed by laminating.

However, Ozue et al discloses a magnetic recording head formed by laminating for the purpose of multi-channeling and for effectively processing narrower tracks (paragraphs 9 and 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a magnetic recording head disclosed by Nishijima et al by way of lamination as shown by Ozue et al since doing so would allow for narrower tracks on a recording medium and higher track density.

Regarding claim 2, Nishijima et al disclose a rotary drum unit (1) for a helical scan type magnetic recording/reproducing apparatus comprising a recording/reproducing head and a unit for transmitting recording and reproduced signals (see Figs 6 and 9, and disclosure thereof), the recording head comprises a multi-gap recording head having "n" recording gaps that are wider than a track pitch (column 2, lines 11-14) and overlap each other in a pitched manner so as to record a pattern of juxtaposed tracks (see Figs. 3 and 4), where "n" is an integer greater than 2, and a gap for recording the last track among "n" recording gaps of the multi-gap recording head being wider gap than other gaps (Fig. 2). Furthermore, in Figure 2, Nishijima et al show standard play video head (3) has as a gap to record the last track among "n" gaps. Nishijima et al fails to explicitly disclose the recording head as being formed by laminating.

However, Ozue et al discloses a recording head formed by laminating for the purpose of multi-channeling and for effectively processing narrower tracks (paragraphs 9 and 10).

Art Unit: 2627

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a rotary drum unit comprising a magnetic recording head disclosed by Nishijima et al by way of lamination as shown by Ozue et al since doing so would allow for narrower tracks on a recording medium and higher track density.

Regarding claim 3, Nishijima et al as modified by Ozue et al disclose a rotary drum unit comprising all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above. Nishijima et al further disclose a rotary drum unit wherein two multi-gap reproducing heads each having "n" gaps are arranged at an angle of 180 degrees to each other (column 5, lines 51-55).

Regarding claim 4, Nishijima et al as modified by Ozue et al disclose a rotary drum unit comprising all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above. Nishijima et al further disclose a rotary drum unit wherein a multi-gap reproducing head having "2n" gaps is arranged at an angle of 180 degrees to the multi-gap recording head (see Fig. 1 and column 5, lines 51-55).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Nishijima et al disclose a rotary drum unit capable of recording "n" tracks per rotation, comprising two multi-gap reproducing heads each having (n + m) or (2n + m) gaps (see Fig. 2) and each of the reproducing heads having a head width which is ½ of a track width or less (see Fig. 2 and column 6, lines 50-51), wherein "n" is an integer greater than 2 and "m" is an integer greater than or equal to 1 respectively. Nishijima et al disclose that in either standard play or long play modes of recording/reproducing, there are always two additional heads (i.e., m) being rotated along with the heads being used (i.e., n) during the selected mode. Nishijima et al fails to explicitly disclose the recording head as being formed by laminating.

However, Ozue et al discloses a magnetic recording head formed by laminating for the purpose of multi-channeling and for effectively processing narrower tracks (paragraphs 9 and 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a rotary drum unit comprising a magnetic recording head disclosed by Nishijima et al by way of lamination as shown by Ozue et al since doing so would allow for narrower tracks on a recording medium and higher track density.

Regarding claim 7, Nishijima et al disclose a magnetic recording method for a helical scan type magnetic recording/reproducing apparatus, wherein the apparatus includes a multi-gap recording head having "n" recording gaps, where "n" is an integer greater than 2, that are wider than a track pitch and overlap each other in a pitched manner (column 2, lines 11-14) so as to record a pattern of juxtaposed tracks (see Figs. 3 and 4) and a gap for recording the last one of the tracks among "n" gaps of multi-gap recording head being a wider recording gap than other gaps (Fig. 2). Furthermore, in Figure 2, Nishijima et al show standard play video head (3) has as a gap to record the last track among "n" gaps, the method comprising the steps of recording the tracks by determining a tape running speed such that a minimum recorded track width can be ensured when the multi-gap recording head overwrites after one rotation of recording completed by the gap (column 6, lines 47-67). Nishijima et al fails to explicitly disclose the recording head as being formed by laminating.

However, Ozue et al discloses a magnetic recording head formed by laminating for the purpose of multi-channeling and for effectively processing narrower tracks (paragraphs 9 and 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,201 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a magnetic recording head disclosed by Nishijima et al by way of lamination as shown by Ozue et al since doing so would allow for narrower tracks on a recording medium and higher track density.

Regarding claim 8, Nishijima et al as modified by Ozue et al disclose a magnetic recording method comprising all the limitations of claim 7 as discussed above. Nishijima et al further disclose a magnetic recording method in which signals are reproduced by a multi-gap reproducing head having a head width which is ½ of a track width or less (column 6, lines 50-51), wherein two multi-gap reproducing heads each having "n" gaps are arranged at an angle of 180 degrees to each other on a rotary drum (Fig. 1) as the multi-gap head, and the two multi-gap reproducing heads are switched on the rotary drum to transmit reproduced signals therefrom via a rotary transformer having "n" recording channels and "n" reproducing channels (see Figs. 9 and 15 and disclosure thereof).

Regarding claims 9, 13, and 14, claims have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejections, and are met by the references as discussed above.

Regarding claims 10-12, 15, and 16, method claims 10-12, 15, and 16 are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 1, 2, 5, and 6. Therefore method claims 10-12, 15, and 16 correspond to apparatus claims 1, 5, and 6 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,201 Page 7

Art Unit: 2627

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniell L. Negrón whose telephone number is 571-272-7559.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DLN/ Daniell L. Negrón Art Unit 2627

June 18, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600